PREPARATION AND REACTIONS OF CYCLIC ALLYLIC

HIGHER ORDER CYANOCUPRATES

Bruce H. Lipshutz,* Christopher Ung, Todd R. Elworthy, and Deborah C. Reuter

Department of Chemistry

University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Abstract. Treatment of cyclic allylic stannanes with MeLi followed by CuCN affords the corresponding allylic cuprates which deliver the cycloalkenyl moiety to a variety of organic substrates.

While composing a major review on the field of organocopper chemistry since Posner's classic contributions on this subject,¹ it was apparent that allylic cuprates *do not* figure prominently in most synthetic schemes. We reasoned that a lack of usage might well be attributed to a lack of understanding of these species.² Indeed, it can now be appreciated that while both lower order (L.O.) cuprates (allyl)₂CuLi and their higher order (H.O.) analogs contain σ -bound ligands,³ the former are thermally sensitive, while the latter are easily prepared and handled even at 0°C or above.⁴ Moreover, it has recently been shown that H.O. diallylic cuprates are among the most reactive copper reagents known.⁴ Reducing their reactivity by formation of neutral, non-*ate* organocopper complexes RCu (R = an allylic group) in the presence of TMS-CI provides a potent combination capable of delivering an allylic ligand in a 1,4 sense to α,β -unsaturated ketones.⁵ It is not surprising, therefore, that not a single example of chemistry involving a *cyclic* allylic cuprate of any sort (L.O. or H.O.) has been described, to our knowledge. We now report that a cycloalkenyl residue can in fact be introduced at its allylic position into various educts using H.O. cuprate technology.



Originally, we had hoped that transmetallations of 1 with $Me_2Cu(CN)Li_2$, as are smoothly effected with *acyclic* allylic stannanes,⁴ would likewise afford reagents 2. Presumably due to the nature of these secondary carbanionic species, the ligand exchange process does not go to completion. Residual $Me_2Cu(CN)Li_2$, of course, is not tolerable in most circumstances, and hence we were forced to resort to lithiation with ethereal MeLi.⁶ In THF at 0°C, one equivalent of MeLi is sufficient to convert 1 to 3, to which is then added 0.5 equivalents of CuCN solubilized as its LiCi (1.0 equivalent) complex.⁷ The resulting cuprate is then appropriately constituted to react with various organic substrates.



Table I highlights a number of examples of allylic stannanes which readily form cuprates 2. Thus, both six- (4) and eight-membered (6) ring systems have been utilized, with one case (5) invoking an allylic cuprate derived from carveol. The stannanes are easily prepared, albeit in low yields (ca. 30-40%, unoptimized) via the corresponding Grignard reagents with subsequent trapping by n-Bu₃SnCl. Coupling reactions could be performed with unhindered enones, primary and vinylic halides, as well as epoxides. The presence of an acetylenic proton does not interfere with this chemistry. 1,4-Diene formation with a vinylic iodide occurs with retention of double bond geometry. Primary bromides were anticipated to give better results relative to iodides due to the likelihood of competing reduction with the more reactive halide.⁸ Yields, nonetheless, tend to be uniformly good to excellent.

Reactivity-wise, cuprates 2 appear to be rather robust, all of the examples in Table I undergoing carbon-carbon bond formation at -78°C in less that 5 minutes. Substrate addition to the normally orange, homogeneous THF solutions of 2 result in an obvious color change; usually there is darkening to a reddish solution which does not dissipate over time.

A typical experimental procedure for the preparation of 8 (see Table I) is as follows: MeLi (421μ L, 0.54mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of cyclohexenyl stannane (200mg, 0.54mmol) in THF (0.72mL, 0.75M) at -78°C. The yellow mixture is warmed to 0°C for 40 minutes before recooling to -78°C. A solution of CuCN (24mg, 0.27mmol) and LiCl (11.5mg, 0.27mmol) in THF (0.6mL, 0.4M) at -78°C is then added *via* cannula to the yellow mixture, followed by addition of epoxide 7 (37mg, 0.225mmol). After 5 minutes the reaction solution is poured into a rapidly stirring solution of 10% aqueous NH₄OH/aq. NH₄Cl and allowed to stir for 30 minutes. The aqueous layer is then extracted with Et₂O and the combined organic layers dried over Na₂SO₄. Subsequent filtration and concentration *in vacuo* provided a residue which was passed through a silica gel column using 15% EtOAc/hexanes to give 55mg (99%)

Stannane	Substrate	Product(s) [*]	Yield(%) ^b
SnBu ₃		Å XO	83
4	Ph 0	Ph O OH OH	99
4			91
4	Ph O - I	Ph o	76
SnBu ₃		ů,	72 ^c
SnB	u ₃ Br(CH ₂) ₅ OMEM	(CH ₂) ₅ OH	90 ^d
6	Ph ^O O(CH ₂) ₅ I	Ph ^O (CH ₂) ₅	76
6	O(CH ₂) ₅ I		77
^a Fully characterized by IR, NMR, MS, and HRMS data. ^b Isolated.			

Table I. Reactions of Cyclic Diallylic Cyanocuprates 2 with Electrophiles In THF at -78° over 5 minutes.

^cA 73:27 mix of isomers. ^dThe MEM group was lost on workup.

4542

of a clear, colorless oil; TLC R_f 0.35 (30/70 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) cm⁻¹ 3420, 1455, 1100; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.33 (m, 5H), 5.69-5.52 (m, 2H), 4.56 (s, 2H), 3.90 (bm, 1H), 3.54-3.52 (dd, J = 10, 3 Hz, 1H), 3.37-3.33 (dt, J = 9, 1 Hz, 1H), 2.37-2.33 (m, 1H), 2.10-1.99 (m, 2H), 1.94-1.80 (m, 1H), 1.75-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.60-1.44 (m, 2H), 1.35-1.25 (m, 2H); El Mass Spec: m/e (relative intensity) 155 (M⁺-CH₂Ph, 4), 91 (100); HRCIMS calcd for C₁₆H₂₂O₂ (M⁺-H) 245.1542; found 245.1538.

In summary, a new series of organocopper complexes is disclosed which allow for coupling of cyclic allylic ligands with several different types of functional groups normally receptive toward cuprate reagents.

Acknowledgement. Financial support provided by the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, and the NSF, is gratefully acknowledged.

References and Notes

- 1. Lipshutz, B.H., Sengupta, S., Org. React., in press.
- 2. For some discussion, see Hutchinson, D.K., Fuchs, P.L., <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1986, <u>27</u>, 1429; Bertz, S.H., Dabbagh, G., <u>Tetrahedron</u>, 1989, <u>45</u>, 425.
- **3.** Lipshutz, B.H., Ellsworth, E.L., Dimock, S.H., Smith, R.A.J., <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, **1989**, <u>54</u>, 4977.
- Lipshutz, B.H., Crow, R., Dimock, S.H., Ellsworth, E.L., Smith, R.A.J., Behling, J.R., <u>J.</u> <u>Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, 1990, <u>112</u>, 4063.
- 5. Lipshutz, B.H., Ellsworth, E.L., Dimock, S.H., Smith, R.A.J., <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, 1990, <u>112</u>, 4404.
- 6. Pereyre, M., Quintard, J.-P., Rahm, A., in "Tin in Organic Synthesis", Butterworths, London, 1987.
- 7. Knochel, P., Yeh, M.C.P., Berk, S.C., Talbert, J., J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 2390.
- Lipshutz, B.H., Parker, D., Kozlowski, J.A., Miller, R.D., <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1983, <u>48</u>, 3334.

(Received in USA 18 June 1990)